arXiv:1609.09216v1 [math.AC] 29 Sep 2016

.

PERINORMAL RINGS WITH ZERO DIVISORS

TIBERIU DUMITRESCU AND ANAM RANI

Abstract. We extend to rings with zero-divisors the concept of perinormal domain introduced by N. Epstein and J. Shapiro. A ring A is called perinormal if every overring of A which satisfies going down over A is A-flat. The Prüfer rings and the Marot Krull rings are perinormal.

1. Introduction

In their paper [7], N. Epstein and J. Shapiro introduced and studied perinormal domains, that is, those domains whose going down overrings are flat A-modules, cf. [7, Proposition 2.4]. Here a going down overring B of A means a ring between A and its quotient field such that going down holds for $A \subseteq B$ (see [16, page 31]). Among other results, they showed that perinormality is a local property [7, Theorem 2.3], a localization of a perinormal domain at a height one prime ideal is a valuation domain [7, Proposition 3.2] and every (generalized) Krull domain is perinormal [7, Theorem 3.10]. They also characterized the universally catenary Noetherian perinormal domains [7, Theorem 4.7].

In [6], we extended some of the results in [7] using the concept of a P-domain introduced by Mott and Zafrullah in [17]. A domain A is called a *P-domain* if A_P is a valuation domain for every prime ideal P which is minimal over an ideal of the form $Aa : b$ with $a, b \in A - \{0\}$. The Prüfer v-multiplication domains (hence the Krull domains and the GCD domains) are P-domains [17, Theorem 3.1]. Recall that A is a *Prüfer v-multiplication domain* if for every finitely generated nonzero ideal I, there exists a finitely generated nonzero ideal J such that the divisorial closure of IJ is a principal (see [8, Section 34] and [17]). The main result of [6] asserts that every P-domain is perinormal [6, Theorem].

The purpose of this paper is to study *perinormal rings with zero-divisors*. Almost all properties of perinormal domains can be recovered for perinormal rings in some appropriate setup. Let A be a ring, $Reg(A)$ the set regular elements (that is, non-zero-divisors) of A and K the total quotient ring of A, that is, the fraction ring of A with denominators in $Reg(A)$. An ideal of A is called *regular* if it contains regular elements. As usual, by an *overring* B of A we mean a ring between A and K. We say that B is a *GD-overring* if $A \subseteq B$ satisfies going down. We call a ring A *perinormal* if every GD-overring of A is flat.

In Section 2 we prove some basic facts about the perinormal rings. Every Prüfer ring is perinormal (Proposition 2). While perinormality is not a local property in the classical sense (Example 5), it is a regular local property (Proposition 6). More precisely, a ring A is perinormal if and only if $A_{(M)}$ is perinormal for each maximal ideal M of A. Here $A_{(M)}$ is the regular quotient ring [10, page 28], that is, the fraction ring of A with denominators in $S = (A - M) \cap Reg(A)$. A perinormal

Key words and phrases. Perinormal ring, Krull ring, zero-divisor.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13B21, Secondary 13F05.

ring has no proper integral unibranched overrings (Proposition 8). Here a ring extension $A \subseteq B$ is called unibranched if the contraction map $Spec(B) \to Spec(A)$ is bijective. A direct product ring $A \times B$ is a perinormal ring if and only if A and B are perinormal (Theorem 11). In particular, the integral closure of a reduced Noetherian ring is perinormal (Corollary 12).

For the rest of the paper, we assume that all rings are Marot rings, that is, their regular ideals are generated by regular elements, cf. [10, page 31]. In Section 3 we extend the main result of [6] for rings with zero-divisors. We call a ring A a *P-ring* if $A_{(P)}$ is a (Manis) valuation ring on K whenever P is minimal over $bA : a$ for some $a \in A$ and $b \in Reg(A)$. The Krull rings are P-rings. Adapting some material from [17] for rings with zero-divisors, we prove that every P-ring is perinormal (Theorem 21).

The main result of Section 4, Theorem 27, extends for rings with zero-divisors the characterization given in [7, Theorem 4.7] for the universally catenary Noetherian perinormal domains. To this aim, we extend a result of McAdam [15, Theorem 2] for rings with zero-divisors: if A is a Noetherian ring and B an integral GD-overring of A, then the non-minimal regular prime ideals of A are unibranched in B (Theorem 26).

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative unitary rings. Any unexplained terminology is standard like in [1], [8], [10] or [13]. For basic facts on rings with zero-divisors we refere the reader to [10].

2. Basic facts

We write again the key definition of this paper.

Definition 1. A ring A is called *perinormal* if every GD-overring of A is flat (as an A-module).

Note that a domain D is a perinormal ring if and only if D is a perinormal domain in the sense of [7]. A total quotient ring (e.g. a zero-dimensional ring) is a trivial example of perinormal ring. Recall that a ring A is a *Prüfer* ring if its finitely generated regular ideals are invertible, cf. [10, Chapter II]. A regular ideal I of A is *invertible* if $II^{-1} = A$ where $I^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq A\}$ and K is the total quotient ring of A, cf. [10, page 29]. By [9, Theorem 13], a ring A is Prüfer if and only if its overrings are A-flat, so we have:

Proposition 2. *Every Prüfer ring is perinormal.*

Let A be a ring with total quotient ring K and P a prime ideal of A. Recall that besides A_P, which in general is not an overring of A, it is customarily to consider the following two overrings of A: the *large quotient ring* $A_{[P]} = \{x \in K \mid sx \in A$ for some $s \in A - P\}$ and the *regular quotient ring* $A_{(P)}$ which is the fraction ring A_S where $S = (A - P) \cap Reg(A)$, cf. [10, page 28]. We have $A \subseteq A_{(P)} \subseteq A_{[P]} \subseteq K$. As shown in [2, Theorem 4.5], $A_{[P]}$ is not always A-flat. In the next lemma, we recall some well-known facts about flatness.

Lemma 3. *Let A be a ring and $B \subseteq C$ two overrings of A.*

(a) The extension $A \subseteq B$ is flat if and only if $A_{[M \cap A]} = B_{[M]}$ for all maximal ideals M of B.

(b) If $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq C$ are flat, then $A \subseteq B$ is flat.

(c) If $A \subseteq C$ is flat, then $B \subseteq C$ is flat.

(*d*) *If $A \subseteq B$ is flat and integral, then $A = B$.*

Proof. (*a*) is a part of [9, Proposition 10]. (*b*) The transitivity of flatness holds in general (see for instance [16, Theorem (3.B)]). (*c*) Let $M \in Max(C)$ and set $Q := M \cap B$, $P := Q \cap A$. By (*a*) we have $C_{[M]} = A_{[P]} \subseteq B_{[Q]} \subseteq C_{[M]}$, so $B_{[Q]} = C_{[M]}$, thus $B \subseteq C$ is flat applying (*a*) again. (*d*) is [9, Proposition 12]. □

Proposition 4. *Let A be a perinormal ring. If B is a flat overring of A, then B is perinormal. In particular, $A_{(P)}$ is perinormal for each prime ideal P of A.*

Proof. Let C be a GD-overring of B. Since B is A-flat it is a GD-overring of A, so C is a GD-overring of A. As A is perinormal, then $A \subseteq C$ is flat, so $B \subseteq C$ is flat by Lemma 3. Thus B is perinormal. □

Example 5. There exist a perinormal ring A having a prime ideal P such that A_P is not perinormal. Indeed, let (B, M) be a local one-dimenional domain which is not integrally closed (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}[[t^2, t^3]]$), $C := B[X, Y]/X(M, X, Y)$ with X, Y are indeterminates and $A := C_N$ where $N = (M, X, Y)$. Then A is local with the maximal ideal NA_N annihilated by X, so A equals its total quotient ring, hence A is perinormal. On the other hand, if P is the prime ideal $(M, X)A$, then $A_P \simeq B(Y)$ is a one-dimenional domain which is not integrally closed (see [8, Section 33]), hence A_P is not perinormal by [7, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 6. *A ring A is perinormal if and only if $A_{(M)}$ is perinormal for each maximal ideal M of A.*

Proof. The implication ($\Rightarrow$) is covered by Proposition 4. ($\Leftarrow$) Let B be a GD-overring of A, $M \in MaxA$ and set $S = (A - M) \cap Reg(A)$. Then B_S is a GD-overring of $A_S = A_{(M)}$. Since $A_{(M)}$ is perinormal, it follows that $A_S \subseteq B_S$ is flat, hence $A_M \subseteq B_M = B \otimes_A A_M$ is flat, because M is disjoint of S, so $(A_S)_{MA_S} \simeq A_M$. Thus $A \subseteq B$ is flat by [16, Theorem (3.J)]. □

Remark 7. The preceding proof can be easily adapted to show that A is perinormal whenever A_M is perinormal for each maximal ideal M.

Recall that a ring extension $A \subseteq B$ is *unibranched* if the contraction map $Spec(B) \to Spec(A)$ is bijective. The following result extends [7, Proposition 3.3] and a part of [7, Corollary 3.4].

Proposition 8. *Let A be a perinormal ring with total quotient ring K. If B is an integral unibranched overring of A, then $A = B$. In particular, if $b \in K$ and $b^2, b^3 \in A$, then $b \in A$.*

Proof. The contraction map $F : Spec(B) \to Spec(A)$ is not only a bijection but also an isomorphism of ordered sets. Indeed, let $Q_1, Q_2 \in Spec(B)$ such that $F(Q_1) \subseteq F(Q_2)$. By going up, there exists $Q_3 \in Spec(B)$ with $Q_1 \subseteq Q_3$ such that $F(Q_2) = F(Q_3)$, so $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 = Q_3$ because F is injective. Now is clear that $A \subseteq B$ satisfies going down. Since A is perinormal, we get that $A \subseteq B$ is flat, so $A = B$, cf. [9, Proposition 12]. The 'in particular' assertion now easily follows because $A \subseteq A[b]$ is unibranched, cf. [18, Lemma 2.4]. □

A reduced ring A satisfying the conclusion of the 'in particular' assertion above is called *seminormal*, see for instance the paragraph after Corollary 3.4 in [18].

In order to prove that a direct product ring $A \times B$ is a perinormal ring if and only

if A and B are perinormal, we need the following well-known result and a simple lemma.

Proposition 9. *The ring extensions $A \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq D$ are flat if and only if $A \times B \subseteq C \times D$ is flat.*

Lemma 10. *Let $A \subseteq C$ be a ring extension and B a ring. Then $A \subseteq C$ satisfies going down if and only if $A \times B \subseteq C \times B$ satisfies going down.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let $P \subseteq Q$ with $P \in Spec(A \times B)$ and $Q \in Spec(C \times B)$. We examine the two cases: (1) $Q = Q_1 \times B$ with $Q_1 \in Spec(C)$ and (2) $Q = C \times M_1$ with $M_1 \in Spec(B)$. In case 1, we get $P = P_1 \times B$ with $P_1 \in Spec(A)$, so $P_1 \subseteq Q_1$. As going down holds for $A \subseteq C$, there exists a prime ideal $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$ of C with $Q_2 \cap A = P_1$. So $Q_2 \times B \subseteq Q$ lies over P. In case 2, we get $P = A \times M_2$ with $M_2 \in Spec(B)$, so $C \times M_2 \subseteq Q$ lies over P.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $Q \in Spec(C)$ and $P \in Spec(A)$ with $P \subseteq Q$. As going down holds for $A \times B \subseteq C \times B$, there exists a prime ideal $Q_1 \times B \subseteq Q \times B$ of $C \times B$ lying over $P \times B$, so $Q_1 \subseteq Q$ is a prime of C lying over P. □

Theorem 11. *Let A and B be rings. Then $A \times B$ is perinormal if and only A and B are perinormal.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) We show that A is perinormal. Let C be a GD-overring of A. By Lemma 10, $A \times B \subseteq C \times B$ satisfies going down. Since $A \times B$ is perinormal, $A \times B \subseteq C \times B$ is flat, so $A \subseteq C$ is flat by Lemma 9. Hence A is perinormal.

($\Leftarrow$) Let M be a prime ideal of $A \times B$. By symmetry, we may assume that $M = P \times B$ with $P \in Spec(A)$. By Proposition 6, it suffices to show that $(A \times B)_{(P \times B)} = A_{(P)} \times L$ is perinormal, where L is the total quotient ring of A. Let C be a GD-overring of $A_{(P)} \times L$. It is easy to see that $C = D \times L$ where D is an overring of $A_{(P)}$. By Lemma 10, D is a GD-overring of $A_{(P)}$. As $A_{(P)}$ is perinormal, we get that $A_{(P)} \subseteq D$ and hence $A_{(P)} \times L \subseteq C$ are both flat, cf. Lemma 9. □

Recall that a domain A is a *Krull domain* if $A = \cap_{P \in X^1(A)} A_P$, this intersection has finite character and A_P is a discrete valuation domain for each $P \in X^1(A)$ (see for instance [8, Section 43]). By [7, Theorem 3.10], a Krull domain is perinormal.

Corollary 12. *The integral closure A' of a reduced Noetherian ring A is perinormal.*

Proof. By [10, Theorem 10.1], A' is finite direct product of Krull domains. Apply Theorem 11 and [7, Theorem 3.10]. □

The preceding result holds also for non-reduced Noetherian rings, cf. Corollary 22.

3. P-rings and Krull rings

By [7, Theorem 3.10], a Krull domain is perinormal. This result was extended in [6, Theorem 2] using the concept of a P-domain. According to [17, page 2], a domain A is called a *P-domain* if A_P is a valuation domain for every prime ideal P which is minimal over an ideal of the form $Aa : b$ with $a, b \in A - \{0\}$. In [6, Theorem 2], we proved that every P-domain is perinormal. In particular a GCD domain or a Krull domain is perinormal. The aim of this section is to extend [6, Theorem 2] to rings with zero-divisors.

Recall that a *Marot ring* is a ring whose regular ideals are generated by regular elements, cf. [10, page 31]. Each overring of a Marot ring is a Marot ring (cf. [10, Corollary 7.3]), every Noetherian ring is Marot (cf. [10, Theorem 7.2]) and, if A is Marot, then $A_{(P)} = A_{[P]}$ for each prime ideal P of A (cf. [10, Theorem 7.6]).

For the rest of this paper, we assume that all rings are Marot rings.

Let A be a ring with total quotient ring K and M an A-module. Recall that a prime ideal P of A is called *weakly Bourbaki associated prime* to M [3, page 289], if P is minimal over the annihilator ideal $Ann(x)$ for some $x \in M$. Denote by $Ass(M)$ the set of weakly Bourbaki associated primes of M. In particular, for the factor A-module K/A we have $Ass(K/A) = \{P \in Spec(A) \mid$ there exist $a \in A$, $b \in Reg(A)$ such that P is minimal over $bA : a\}$. Note that each $P \in Ass(K/A)$ is regular because $bA : a$ contains the regular element b. The following fact is well-known for domains.

Proposition 13. *Let A be a ring with total quotient ring K. Then*

$$A = \bigcap_{P \in Ass(K/A)} A_{(P)}.$$

Proof. By contrary, assume there exist $a \in A$ and $b \in Reg(A)$ such that $a/b \in \bigcap_{P \in Ass(K/A)} A_{(P)} - A$. Then $bA : a$ is a proper ideal and, if we pick a minimal prime ideal Q of $bA : a$, then $Q \in Ass(K/A)$. By Remark 14, $a/b \notin A_{(Q)}$ which is a contradiction. The other inclusion is obvious. □

Remark 14. Let A be a ring, $a \in A$, $b \in Reg(A)$ and $P \in Spec(A)$. Clearly, if $a/b \in A_{(P)}$, then $bA : a \not\subseteq P$. Conversely, if $bA : a \not\subseteq P$, there exists some regular element in $(bA : a) - P$, so $a/b \in A_{(P)}$, because A is a Marot ring.

We briefly recall the definition of a (Manis) valuation ring. For details we refer the reader to [10, Chapter II]. Let K be a ring. A *valuation* on K is a surjective map $v : K \to G \cup \{\infty\}$, where $(G, +)$ is a totally ordered abelian group, such that

(*a*) $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$ for all $x, y \in K$,

(*b*) $v(x + y) \geq min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in K$,

(*c*) $v(1) = 0$ and $v(0) = \infty$.

Theorem 15. ([10, Theorem 5.1]) *Let A be a ring with total quotient ring K and let M be a prime ideal of A. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(*a*) *If B is an overring of A having a prime ideal N such that $N \cap A = M$, then $A = B$.*

(*b*) *If $x \in K - A$, there exists $y \in M$ such that $xy \in A - M$.*

(*c*) *There exists a valuation v on K such that $A = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq (0)\}$ and $M = \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$.*

A pair (A, M) satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 15 is called a *valuation pair* and A is called a *valuation ring* (on K). By [13, Corollary 10.5], M is uniquely determined by A if $A \neq K$. If G is the group of integers, then A is called a *discrete valuation ring (DVR).*

Definition 16. (*a*) Let A be a ring and P a regular prime ideal of A. We say that P is *valued* if $(A_{(P)}, PA_{(P)})$ is a valuation pair.

(*b*) A ring A is called an *essential ring* if $A = \bigcap_{P \in G} A_{(P)}$ where G is a set of valued primes of A.

(c) A ring A is called a *P-ring* if every $Q \in Ass_A(K/A)$ is a valued prime.

The following result extends [17, Proposition 1.1] for rings with zero-divisors.

Theorem 17. *Let A be a ring.*
(a) *If A is a P-ring, then A is essential.*
(b) *If A is a P-ring and $S \subseteq Reg(A)$ a multiplicative set, then A_S is a P-ring.*
(c) *If $A_{(M)}$ is an essential ring for each $M \in Ass(K/A)$, then A is a P-ring.*

Proof. (a) follows from Proposition 13. (b) Set $B := A_S$ and let $Q \in Ass_B(K/B)$. By [3, page 289], $Q = PB$ for some $P \in Ass_A(K/A)$ disjoint of S. Hence $B_{(Q)} = B_{(PB)} = A_{(P)}$ is a valuation ring.

(c) Suppose that $M \in Ass_A(K/A)$ and set $B = A_{(M)}$. Then M is a minimal prime over $bA : a$ for some $a \in A$ and $b \in Reg(A)$, so $a/b \notin B$ by Remark 14. Since B is essential, there exists a valued prime N of B such that $a/b \notin B_{(N)}$. As A is a Marot ring, $N = QB$ for some $Q \in Spec(A)$ contained in M. Since $B_{(N)} = A_{(Q)}$ is a valuation ring, it follows that Q is a valued prime. From $a/b \notin B_{(N)}$ and Remark 14, we get $bA : a \subseteq Q \subseteq M$, hence $M = Q$ is a valued prime, because M is minimal over $bA : a$. □

Remark 18. Let A be a ring with total quotient ring K and let B be an overring of A. It is well-known that the contraction map $Spec(B) \to Spec(A)$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between the non-regular prime ideals of B and the non-regular prime ideals of A whose inverse is given by $P \mapsto PK \cap B$ (see [10, page 2]). Consequently, the contraction map $Spec(B) \to Spec(A)$ is surjective if and only if every regular prime ideal of A is lain over by some (necessarily regular) prime ideal of A.

The following result is probably well-known.

Proposition 19. *Let A be a ring, B a GD-overring of A, $Q \in Spec(B)$ and $P := Q \cap A$. Then the contraction map $Spec(B_{(Q)}) \to Spec(A_{(P)})$ is surjective.*

Proof. We may assume that Q is regular, otherwise $A_{(P)} = B_{(Q)}$ is the total quotient ring of A. By Remark 18, it suffices consider a regular prime ideal N of $A_{(P)}$. Note that $M := N \cap A$ is regular. Since M is disjoint of $(A - P) \cap Reg(A)$, we get that every regular element of M is in P, so $M \subseteq P$ because A is a Marot ring. By going down, there is some $Q_1 \in Spec(B)$ such that $Q_1 \cap A = M$ and $Q_1 \subseteq Q$. As M is regular so is Q_1. Thus $Q_1 B_{(Q)}$ is a regular prime ideal of $B_{(Q)}$ that lies over N. □

The next result extends [6, Theorem 1] for rings with zero-divisors.

Proposition 20. *Let A be an essential ring and B an overring of A. If the contraction map $Spec(B) \to Spec(A)$ is surjective, then $A = B$.*

Proof. Let G be the set of valued primes of A. Let $P \in G$ and pick $Q \in Spec(B)$ such that $Q \cap A = P$. Since $A_{(P)} \subseteq B_{(Q)}$, $(A_{(P)}, PA_{(P)})$ is a valuation pair and $QB_{(Q)}$ lies over $PA_{(P)}$, we obtain $B \subseteq B_{(Q)} = A_{(P)}$, cf. Theorem 15. Since A is essential, we get $B \subseteq \cap_{P \in G} A_P = A$, so $A = B$. □

The next result extends [6, Theorem 2] for rings with zero-divisors.

Theorem 21. *Every P-ring A is perinormal.*

Proof. Denote by K the total quotient ring of A. Let B a GD-overring of A, Q a maximal ideal of B and $P := Q \cap A$. If Q is non-regular, then so is P and we get easily that $A_{(P)} = K = B_{(Q)}$. Assume that Q and hence P are regular ideals. By Theorem 17 and Proposition 19, $A_{(P)}$ is an essential ring and the contraction map $Spec(B_{(Q)}) \to Spec(A_{(P)})$ is surjective. By Proposition 20, we get $A_{(P)} = B_{(Q)}$. Thus $A \subseteq B$ is flat due to part (a) of Lemma 3. □

Say that a prime ideal of a ring is *minimal regular* if it is minimal in the set of regular primes (see [10, page 40]). Let A be a ring and H be the set of minimal regular prime ideals of A. Recall from [14, Section 7] that A is a *Krull ring* if

(1) $A = \cap_{P \in H} A_{(P)}$,
(2) each $x \in Reg(A)$ belongs to finitely many prime ideals $P \in H$,
(3) $A_{(P)}$ is a DVR for each $P \in H$.

Clearly a Krull ring is essential. By [10, Theorem 10.1], the integral closure of a Noetherian ring is a Krull ring. By [10, Theorem 8.2], $A_{(P)}$ is a Krull ring whenever A is a Krull ring and P a prime ideal of A. So Theorem 17 applies to show that a Krull ring is a P-ring. Thus we have the following corollary of Theorem 21 which extends the Krull part of [7, Theorem 3.10].

Corollary 22. *Every Krull ring is perinormal. In particular, the integral closure of a Noetherian ring is perinormal.*

4. Noetherian perinormal rings

According to [7], a domain D *satisfies* (R_1) if D_P is a valuation domain whenever P is a height one prime of D. By [7, Proposition 3.2], any perinormal domain satisfies (R_1). One of the main results of [7], Theorem 4.7, gives the following description of the perinormal universally catenary Noetherian domains.

Theorem 23. ([7, Theorem 4.7]) *Let D be a universally catenary Noetherian domain. The following are equivalent.*

(a) D is perinormal.

(b) D satisfies (R_1) and, for each $P \in Spec(D)$, D_P is the only ring C between D_P and its integral closure such that $D_P \subseteq C$ is unibranched.

The universal catenary is used to derive that: ($\sharp$) every height one prime ideal of the integral closure of D contracts to a height one prime ideal of D, cf. [7, Lemma 4.3]. Condition ($\sharp$) is clearly stable under localizations, because $(D_P)' = D'_P$. Since every perinormal domain satisfies (R_1), we could merge conditions (R_1) and ($\sharp$) in order to rephrase Theorem 22 as follows:

Theorem 24. *Let D be a Noetherian domain with integral closure D'. Assume that $D_{P \cap A}$ is a DVR for every height one prime ideal P of D'. The following are equivalent.*

(a) D is perinormal.

(b) For each $P \in Spec(D)$, D_P is the only ring C between D_P and its integral closure such that $D_P \subseteq C$ is unibranched.

The purpose of this section is to extend this result for rings with zero-divisors. Our approach is not to adapt the proof of [7, Theorem 4.7] but to adapt and use the following result of McAdam [15, Theorem 2]. Recall that if $A \subseteq B$ is a ring

extension, a prime ideal P of A is called *unibranched* in B if there exists a unique prime ideal of B lying over P.

Theorem 25. ([15, Theorem 2]) *Let A be a Noetherian domain and B an integral overring of A. Then $A \subseteq B$ has going down if and only if every prime ideal of A of height ≥ 2 is unibranched in B.*

We partially extend this result for rings with zero-divisors. Our proof is just an adaptation of the original proof.

Theorem 26. *Let A be a Noetherian ring. If B is an integral GD-overring of A, then the non-minimal regular prime ideals of A are unibranched in B.*

Proof. Deny. There exist $Q_1 \not\subseteq Q_2$ prime ideals of B such $Q_1 \cap A = Q_2 \cap A = P$ where P is a non-minimal regular prime. Let $u \in Q_1 - Q_2$. Since 'lying over' holds for $A[u] \subseteq B$, it is easy to see that $A \subseteq A[u]$ satisfies going down. Replacing B by $A[u]$, we may assume that $B = A[u]$. By going down, it follows that Q_1 is a non-minimal regular prime ideal. As $A \subseteq B$ is a finite extension, the conductor $I = A : B$ is a regular ideal of A and B. Note that $I \subseteq P$, otherwise P would be unibranched in B. Let $W = \{N_1,...,N_s\}$ be the (possibly empty) set of minimal regular primes H of B such that $I \subseteq H \subseteq Q_1$. Note that W is finite because every $H \in W$ is minimal over I. By prime avoidance, we can find a regular element $x \in Q_1 - (Q_2 \cup N_1 \cup \cdots \cup N_s)$. By Principal Ideal Theorem, there exists a minimal regular prime ideal N such that $xB \subseteq N \subseteq Q_1$. By going down, there exists a prime $N' \subseteq Q_2$ of B such that $N' \cap A = N \cap A := M$. As $x \in N$, we get $N \not\subseteq Q_2$, so $N \neq N'$, hence M is not unibranched in B, thus $I \subseteq M \subseteq N$. It follows that $N \in W$, which is a contradiction. □

We extend Theorem 24 for rings with zero-divisors. We say that an overring B of a ring A is a *unibranched overring* if the extension $A \subseteq B$ is unibranched.

Theorem 27. *Let A be a Noetherian ring with integral closure A'. Assume that $A_{(P\cap A)}$ is a DVR for every minimal regular prime P of A'. The following are equivalent.*

(a) A is perinormal.

(b) Whenever P is a prime ideal of A and B is an integral unibranched overring of $A_{(P)}$, we have $A_{(P)} = B$.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ follows from Propositions 6 and 8.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. Let B be a GD-overring of A, N be a prime ideal of B and $M := N \cap A$. By Lemma 3, it suffices to prove that $A_{(M)} = B_{(N)}$, because our rings are Marot. By Lemma 19, the map $Spec(B_{(N)}) \to Spec(A_{(M)})$ is surjective. Note that $A_{(M)} \subseteq B_{(N)}$ satisfies going down and our assumption on A is inherited by $A_{(M)}$. Therefore, we may replace A by $A_{(M)}$ and hence assume that the map $Spec(B) \to Spec(A)$ is surjective. Let P' be a minimal regular prime ideal of A', set $P = P' \cap A$ and pick $Q \in Spec(B)$ such that $Q \cap A = P$. From the following three properties: $A_{(P)} \subseteq B_{(Q)}$, $A_{(P)}$ is a DVR and $QB_{(Q)}$ lies over $PA_{(P)}$, we get $B \subseteq B_{(Q)} = A_{(P)} = A'_{(P')}$, cf. Theorem 15. Since A' is a Krull ring, we get $B \subseteq \cap_{P'} A'_{(P')} = A'$, so $B \subseteq A'$. By Theorem 26, the non-minimal regular prime ideals of A are unibranched in B. We claim that the minimal regular prime ideals of A are unibranched in B. Indeed, let P be a minimal regular prime ideal of A and $Q \in Spec(A')$ lying over P. Since INC holds for $A \subseteq A'$, it follows that Q is

a minimal regular prime ideal of A', hence $A_{(P)}$ is a DVR, by our hypothesis on A. Now it is easy to see that if $Q \in Spec(B)$ lies over P, then $A_{(P)} = B_{(Q)}$, thus P is unibranched in B. Finally, from Remark 18, the non-regular prime ideals of A are unibranched in B. Thus $A \subseteq B$ is unibranched and we get $A = B$ by our hypothesis. □

Acknowledgement. The first author gratefully acknowledges the warm hospitality of the Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences GC University Lahore during his visits in the period 2006-2015. The second author is highly grateful to ASSMS GC University Lahore, Pakistan in supporting and facilitating this research.

References

[1] M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesely 1969.
[2] M. Boisen, The containment property for large quotient rings, *J. Reine Angew. Math* **258** (1973), 55–61.
[3] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
[4] J. Brewer and W. Heinzer, Associated primes of principal ideals, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 1–7.
[5] D. Dobbs and G. Picavet, Weak Baer going-down rings, *Houston J. Math* **29** (2003), 559–581.
[6] T. Dumitrescu and A. Rani, A note on perinormal domains, arXiv:1511.03832 [math.AC] (to appear in J. Comm. Algebra).
[7] N. Epstein and J. Shapiro, Perinormality - a generalization of Krull domains, *J. Algebra* **451** (2016), 65–84.
[8] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
[9] M. Griffin, Prüfer rings with zero divisors, *J. Reine Angew. Math* **239 240** (1970), 55–67.
[10] J. Huckaba, *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, Dekker, New York, 1988.
[11] B. Kang, A characterization of Krull rings with zero divisors, *J. Pure App. Algebra* **72** (1991), 33–38.
[12] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, rev. ed., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
[13] M. Larsen and P. McCarthy, *Multiplicative Theory of Ideals*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
[14] R. Matsuda, Generalization of multiplicative ideal theory to commutative rings with zero-divisors, *Bull. Fac. Sci., Ibaraki Univ., Ser. A. Math* **17** (1985), 49–101.
[15] S. McAdam, Going down, *Duke Math. J.* **39** (1972), 633–636.
[16] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, second edition, Benjamin, Reading, 1980.
[17] J. Mott and M. Zafrullah, On Prüfer v-multiplication domains, *Manuscripta Math* **35** (1981), 1–26.
[18] R. Swan, On seminormality, *J. Algebra* **67** (1980), 210–229.

Facultatea de Matematica si Informatica,University of Bucharest,14 Academiei Str., Bucharest, RO 010014, Romania
E-mail address: tiberiu@fmi.unibuc.ro, tiberiu_dumitrescu2003@yahoo.com

Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University, Lahore. 68-B, New Muslim Town, Lahore 54600, Pakistan
E-mail address: anamrane@gmail.com